Should India use Commercially Produced Ready to Use Therapeutic Foods (RUTF) for Severe Acute Malnutrition (SAM)

Working Group for Children Under Six

Globally, nearly 20 million children under five suffer from Severe Acute Malnutrition (SAM), a condition which contributes to one million child deaths annually. In India 48% of children under five years of age are stunted and 43 percent are underweight; almost 8 million suffer from SAM. Malnutrition is not a new problem in India, nor is SAM. Several hospitals and non-government organizations are engaged in community-based management of malnutrition using locally produced/procured and locally processed foods along with intensive nutrition education. These programs enable parents to meet the nutritional requirements of their children with foods that are available at low cost. The Supreme Court of India has also directed the government to universalize the Integrated Child Development Scheme and provide one hot cooked meal to children under six years of age to supplement their nutrition.

The blame for the increasing number of severely malnourish children can be laid at the door of policies that have destroyed poor people’s access to food. Nonetheless, there is urgent need to ensure that these children do not die; that they recover and maintain a healthy nutritional status. The current thinking – that a centrally produced and processed Ready-to-Use Therapeutic Food (RUTF) should supplant the locally prepared indigenous foods in treatment of SAM – ignores the multiple causes of malnutrition and destroys the diversity of potential solutions based on locally available foods. This position paper has been drafted by Dr. Vandana Prasad, Radha Holla and Dr. Arun Gupta, members of the Working Group for Children Under Six – a joint effort of Jan Swasthya Abhiyan (People’s Health Movement – India) and the Right to Food Campaign which been advocating for the last three years with the Indian government for decentralized and community-based strategies to combat and prevent malnutrition in children.

How Should India Approach The Management Of SAM? A Position Paper

The numbers of children who are currently suffering from malnutrition in India is an extremely serious matter of national shame and distress. Not only has this situation persisted for far too long, it remains intractable even during the recent phase of rapid economic growth. Of late, there has been intense debate and discussion on how to best intervene to make a change that is both substantial and rapid, and various groups of experts have presented strategies to policy makers as to the steps that need to be taken for both preventing malnutrition and treating its most severe forms.

This position paper responds to a particular strategy that has been introduced at state level without due process of discussion on its repercussions and implications; namely, the use of imported Ready to Use Therapeutic Foods (henceforth RUTF) for the management of Severe Acute Malnutrition (henceforth SAM).

The current situation is this

1. A product called Plumpy Nut has been imported for distribution to children with SAM in several states, including Madhya Pradesh, Jharkhand, Orissa, Bihar and Maharashtra under the aegis
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of UNICEF and through the mechanism of Nutrition Rehabilitation Centres (NRCs). There is a proposal to make it the “prescribed treatment” for SAM.

2. This product is imported from a company called Nutriset in France. If produced in India, it would cost approximately US $ 40 or approximately Rs. 2000/- per child per treatment.2

3. Plumpy Nut efficacy has been demonstrated in other countries such as Malawi, Niger, Ethiopia, DR Congo and Mozambique in conditions of disaster and famine.

4. The studies demonstrating the efficacy of Plumpy Nut have been primarily conducted in disaster situations, where other community-based treatments for SAM have not existed, eg. refugee camps, famines, etc. There are few studies comparing the impact of Plumpy Nut with other specific community-based treatments for SAM developed from local indigenous foods.

In juxtaposition of these facts

1. The guidelines for community and home-based treatment of SAM formulated by a large group of experts and supported by the Indian Academy of Pediatrics recommends the use of home-based food (modified from the family pot). It specifically warns that commercially available international RUTF may not be suitable, acceptable, cost effective and sustainable.3

2. Many locally produced/producible foods that are culturally acceptable and relatively low cost have been used for SAM in India for many decades by reliable academic and medical institutions as well as by non governmental groups. The following table (seen on the next page) gives details of some of these mixes.

3. Several experiments are on using modified family foods to treat SAM. Jodhpur Medical College has been using a mix of energy dense khichri, milk, raar, dal, sugar, fruit, fruit juice and egg to treat SAM both in institutional and home settings. This is in the process of analysis and documentation. In Tamil Nadu, the Direct Nutrition Programme gives a mix of 80g rice, 10g. dal, 2g. oil, 50 g. of vegetables and condiments at a total cost of Rs. 1.07 to each child between 2 and 4 years of age. This provides 358.2 calories and 8.2g protein per child.4 The Sattu Maavu listed in the table above is given as complementary food for children between 6 and 36 months of age and pregnant and lactating women, and costs approximately Rs. 15 per kilo. Other experiments by NGOs such as Mobile Creches have used common foods including eggs, soya products and milk for demonstrable impact at a cost of Rs 8 per child per day for full day-care nutritional facilities.5

4. These foods have been completely ignored in the haste to introduce Plumpy Nut, which, though an efficacious formula, seriously disturbs the concept of self reliance in food security and creates an unnecessary dependence upon a product upon which families and communities have little control.

5. Alternate foods listed above have many additional advantages.

a. They promote local agricultural practices as they use millets and locally available foods.

b. They promote local livelihoods amongst the very families what may be harbouring children with SAM in a milieu of general poverty and food insecurity thus conferring more than food supplementation: an opportunity to raise economic status. They may use the agency of existing women’s groups and SHGs as well as small scale industry.

c. By being much more decentralised a process, they allow greater community participation and control.


4 Ibid

Evidently, though there are few formal studies documenting their efficacy there are some, along with plenty of anecdotal evidence of success. The very fact that these pre existing attempts have not been properly studied, analysed and documented by research and expert bodies on nutrition is a matter of concern. It is hard to explain why it has been permitted for a somewhat alien product to be introduced at such large scale without investigating the relative merits and demerits of the ready to use foods that we have been using in such prestigious institutes as mentioned above. It would not have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Mix</th>
<th>Composition and Calorific Value</th>
<th>Developed by</th>
<th>Locally prepared by</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Davangere Mix</td>
<td>Laddus made of Equal quantities of groundnuts, roasted Bengal gram, jaggery and ragi. 100g. gives 400 calories and 15g protein</td>
<td>Medical College, Davangere</td>
<td>Women’s groups</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakti Nutrimix</td>
<td>Rice, Wheat, Whole gram (chana), Ground nut, Sugar, Salt, Cardamom, Black pepper, vitamins and minerals. Each 100 g. of mix provides 10.4g protein, 5.3g fat, and 402 calories</td>
<td>Shibipur People’s Care Organisation, 23/1 Baze Shibpur Road, Shibpur, Howrah/Village and PO Tapan, Dr. Dakshin Dinajpur,</td>
<td>Women’s groups</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrimix</td>
<td>Wheat (400g), rice (400g), grams (75g), Moong (75g), groundnut (50g);, sprouted, dried, roasted and powdered. 2 heaped spoons in glass of water or milk with sugar twice a day</td>
<td>Development Research Communication and Service Centre, 58A, Dharmatala Road Bosepur, Kasba Kolkata - 700 042</td>
<td>Women’s groups</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrimix</td>
<td>Wheat/rice and Bengal gram/ Moong in ratio 4:1. Used for treating SAM, for preparing F 75, F 100, as starter and catch up foods. Each 100 g cooked provides provides 120-150 Kilocalorie and protein 2-3grams, Can be made more energy dense by adding seasonal fruits, and micronutrient rich by adding Electrolyte Mineral Solution</td>
<td>CINI (Child In Need Institute), Kolkata</td>
<td>Women’s groups</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAPSI</td>
<td>Green millet, peanut, jaggery. Successfully used for quick recovery from SAM</td>
<td>Bharat Agro Industries Foundation and CAPART</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT Mix</td>
<td>Roasted and ground rice, wheat, black grom and sugar in ratio 1:1:1:2. Provides 380 calories per 100g.</td>
<td>Sree Avittom Thirunal Hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kerala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIX</td>
<td></td>
<td>National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad</td>
<td></td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCCM (high caloric cereal milk)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Christian Medical College, Vellore</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sattu Maav (Anuradha K. Rajivan, “History of Direct Nutrition Programmes in Tamil Nadu”, <a href="http://www.righttofoodindia.org/data/anuradha.pdf">www.righttofoodindia.org/data/anuradha.pdf</a>)</td>
<td>Wheat flour 42%, Maize flour 10%, Malted Ragi flour 5%, Bengal gram flour 12%, Jaggery 30%, Vitamin Premix 1% 100g. provides Protein 9 to 10% and Calories 360</td>
<td>Nutrition Monitoring Programme (state programme)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B: Shelf life is not a necessary condition for these locally produced ready to eat foods as they are prepared in quantities needed by local women’s groups under the supervision of the respective hospital or NGO.
been either difficult or time consuming to study these further for a few months before arriving at a suitable strategy for SAM that includes supplementary food.

Perhaps it leads us into our long standing recommendation and demand, that the country needs to develop a well discussed and debated policy of child nutrition rather than have to combat each contingency as it arises.

This policy necessarily needs to keep in mind that supplementary nutrition is one, though important, part of the multi pronged strategy to bring about overall food security for children and families, and the best SN would be one that promotes self reliance, decentralisation, community participation and is low cost and culturally acceptable. An imported or centrally prepared very expensive food that displaces other locally producible options can hardly hope to fulfil these criteria and should be abandoned in favour of the ‘right’ product. Adequate thought, planning and research should go into developing such policies rather than succumbing to various pressures in haste and allowing unsustainable processes that may prove difficult to reverse and will cause long term harm to the very communities and families whose children we aim to ‘treat.’ We also need to continuously remind ourselves of the comprehensive set of strategies that will bring about the ultimate goal of child health, nutrition and well being through services of general care, health and nutrition in an environment of overall food, economic and social security.